

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

GREEN WORLD COUNCIL BLUFFS, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, and MICHAEL KIM, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. 1SHARPE OPPORTUNITY INTERMEDIATE FUND, LP., a Cayman Island limited partnership, <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	CASE NO. C20-1579-JCC MINUTE ORDER
--	---

The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C. Coughenour, United States District Judge:

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Michael Kim’s Notice of Intent to File a Reply to Defendants’ Response to Motion for Summary Judgment by March 29, 2021 and Motion for In Person Hearing (Dkt. No. 35). Mr. Kim indicates that he intends to file a reply brief in support of his motion for summary judgment on March 29, 2021 and asks the Court to set an in-person hearing. (*Id.* at 1.)

Mr. Kim filed his motion for summary judgment on February 12, 2021. (Dkt. No. 7.) The

1 motion is noted for today, March 19, 2021.¹ “The noting date is the date by which all briefing is
 2 complete and the matter is ready for the court’s consideration.” W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R.
 3 7(b)(1). In accordance with the Local Civil Rules, Defendants filed their response brief on March
 4 15, 2021. *See* W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(d)(3) (“Any opposition papers [to a motion for
 5 summary judgment] shall be filed and served not later than the Monday before the noting date.”).
 6 It appears that Mr. Kim misunderstands the rules governing filing deadlines and believes that he
 7 has fourteen days from the date of Defendants’ response to file his reply brief. (*See* Dkt. No. 35
 8 at 2.) Under the Local Civil Rules, however, Mr. Kim’s reply brief in support of his motion for
 9 summary judgment is due today. *See* W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(d)(3) (“Any reply papers [filed
 10 in support of a motion for summary judgment] shall be filed and served no later than the noting
 11 date.”).

12 While a party may renote his own pending motion by “promptly filing a document titled
 13 Notice of Motion Renoted and changing the noting date in CM/ECF before any opposing party
 14 files a response to the motion,” W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(l), Defendants have already filed a
 15 responsive brief, (Dkt. No. 32). Under these circumstances, the motion may be renoted *only* by
 16 filing a stipulation signed by all parties or by order of the Court. W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(l).
 17 Mr. Kim has not obtained a stipulation, but the Court will liberally construe his filing as a
 18 request for a Court order continuing the noting date.

19 Because of Mr. Kim’s *pro se* status and his misunderstanding of the applicable rules, the
 20 Court, in this instance, grants the request and ORDERS:

- 21 1. The Clerk is DIRECTED to renote Mr. Kim’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt.
 22 No. 7) for March 29, 2021. Mr. Kim’s reply brief in support of his motion for
 23 summary judgment is due on or before that date.

24 ¹ Mr. Kim filed his summary judgment motion without including a noting date in the caption as required by Local
 25 Civil Rule 7(b)(1). Under these circumstances, the Court may renote the motion. *See* W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(l).
 26 By order, the Court indicated that Mr. Kim’s motion was noted for consideration on March 12, 2021. (Dkt. No. 23 at
 2 n.1.) However, it appears the Court’s docket was revised to reflect a noting date of March 19, 2021. (*See* Dkt. No.
 7.) Accordingly, the Court will construe the current noting date as March 19, 2021.

